




IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

<b>In re Application of:</b>  Mark T. Feuerstraeter  <b>Serial No.:</b> 09/960,007  <b>Filed:</b> September 20, 2001  <b>For:</b> Method and Apparatus for Autosensing LAN vs WAN to Determine Port Type  <b>Attorney Docket No.:</b> 42P10687 <b>Confirmation No.:</b> 4223 <b>Customer no.:</b> 008791	<b>Examiner:</b> Warner Wong  <b>Group Art Unit:</b> 2668  <b>CERTIFICATE OF MAILING</b>  I hereby certify that this paper or fee along with any attachments referred to or identified as being attached or enclosed is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail (under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)) on the date of deposit shown below with sufficient postage and in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450.  <u>7-57-06</u> Date of Deposit   Signature of registered practitioner or other person having reasonable basis to expect mailing to occur on date of deposit shown pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)(1)(ii)  Katherine Jennings Typed/printed name of person whose signature is contained above
--	---

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**Amendment and Response to Office Action**

Applicant submits this paper in response to Examiner's Office Action mailed February 7, 2006. The Examiner's reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the following:

<b>Amendments to the Specification .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Amendments to the Claims .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Amendments to the Drawings.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Remarks / Arguments.....</b>	<b>13</b>
Remarks on Amendments to the Specification .....	13
Arguments Regarding Claim Rejections in the Last Office Action.....	14
<i>Rejections based on 35 U.S.C. § 112 first paragraph .....</i>	<i>14</i>
<b>Conclusion .....</b>	<b>15</b>